

NGOs and NHRI play a crucial role in the execution process before the Committee of Ministers. Your input can importantly contribute to a better and faster execution of judgments of the ECtHR.

As an NGO or an NHRI, you can use Rule 9 procedure to:

1. Start a genuine dialogue

Draw the CM's attention to missing or misleading Action Plans.
 Use the Action Plans as an opportunity to get information you might not be getting.

2. Contextualise the measures proposed by a state

Do the proposed measures work much better “on paper”, than they would in practice?
 Tell the CM why.

Could it be that the state says that it is an **isolated** issue, but you have evidence that it is **systemic**? If yes, provide evidence for this.

3. Offer pragmatic solutions to the biggest implementation issues

Do you have any ideas about how a judgment could best be implemented?
 Communicate those to the CM and help set a **benchmark for implementation**.

4. Request escalation of the supervision

If the issue is more important or **systemic** than it is made out to be, provide evidence to the CM and ask that the case be moved from the ordinary to the **enhanced** supervision procedure.

Concrete NGO strategies responding to state behaviour in Slovenia and Hungary

	State action	NGO strategy
Slovenia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pays and complies faster if higher compensation award - Concerned about international reputation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dialogue with the Committee of Ministers - Attending CM meetings and bringing victim representatives
Hungary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Not sensitive to monetary incentives - Not concerned about international reputation - Concerned about EU benefits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pressure through mass litigation - Dialogue with the Committee of Ministers and the EU Commission's RoL mechanism